



International Journal of Pure, Applied and Computational Mathematics (IJPACM)

Journal homepage:

<https://ijpacm.nobleinkresearch.com/1>

ISSN Online- 2839-5875; ISSN Print - 2839-5751



Mathematical Analysis of Jeffrey Fluid Flow with Heat Transfer

Neeraj Kumar*

*Department of Mathematics, Government Degree College Govardhan Mathura, 281502, Uttar Pradesh, India

*Corresponding author: neerajmath2010@gmail.com

Article history:

Received: 17 April 2026

Revised: 26 May 2026

Accepted: 18 June 2026

Available online: 30 June 2026

Article type:

Research Article

Keywords:

Jeffrey Fluid

Heat Transfer

Non-Newtonian

Fluid

Boundary Layer

Flow

Similarity Transformation

Mathematical Modeling

Viscoelastic Fluid

Abstract

The non-Newtonian fluid models play an important role to describe the complex rheological characteristics of industrial, biological and engineering fluids which cannot be quantitatively described by the classical Newtonian constitutive law. The Jeffrey fluid model, among others, has attracted great interest due to its ability to account for relaxation and retardation effects while still being easy to understand. The present work provides a mathematical analysis of the steady flow of Jeffrey fluid with heat transfer over a stretching surface. The equations are based on conservation of mass, momentum and energy and the Jeffrey constitutive relation. The different similarity transformations are used to turn nonlinear partial differential equations into coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations. We investigate the effects of various dimensionless parameters such as the Jeffrey parameter, Deborah number, Reynolds number, and Prandtl number on the velocity and temperature distributions. We also discuss the presence of physically relevant solutions, qualitative stability and the effect of thermal transport on fluid behavior. We particularly discuss the momentum and thermal boundary layer and how heat transfer affects fluid motion at different rheological conditions. The mathematical formulation is applied to practical applications in polymer processing, lubrication systems, biomedical fluid transport, food industry and chemical industry, where viscoelastic fluids are frequently experienced. As well, the limitations of the classical Jeffrey fluid model are discussed and the areas of future research are discussed, such as fractional order formulation, magnetohydrodynamic effects, nanofluid transport, porous media and machine learning based parameter estimation.

1. Introduction

Fluid dynamics is one of the most important fields of applied mathematics and engineering and one of the theoretical grounds for understanding the motion of liquids and gases under various physical forces. Mathematical modeling of fluid flow has played a critical role in a variety of fields including mechanical engineering, chemical engineering, aerospace engineering, biomedical engineering, energy systems, and environmental sciences. Classical fluid mechanics is based on the Newtonian constitutive law in which the shear stress is proportional to the rate of deformation. Such an assumption is correct for simple fluids such as water, air and dilute gases, but the complex rheological behavior of many industrial and biological fluids such as polymer solutions, molten plastics, paints, blood, lubricants, cosmetic products, food suspensions, and drilling fluids is not.

Since Newtonian fluid theory is not sufficient to explain viscoelastic behavior, a number of non-Newtonian constitutive models have been developed to describe fluids with memory, elasticity and nonlinear stress-strain relationships. Some of the most well-studied non-Newtonian models are Maxwell, Oldroyd-B, Casson, Williamson, Carreau, Burgers and Jeffrey fluid models. Each model is designed to express some of the rheological properties observed experimentally in complex fluids. The Jeffrey fluid model has a unique position since it accounts for both relaxation and retardation time and is mathematically simpler than many higher-order viscoelastic models. Thus, it has been used for theoretical problem solving and boundary layer approximation studies.

The Jeffrey fluid model extends the classical Newtonian constitutive equation by introducing two properties of material that describe the delayed response of the fluid to an applied deformation. The relaxation time is the time the fluid is still stressed and the retardation time is the time when the fluid is not stressed by an external load. These features give the Jeffrey fluid model a good understanding of viscoelastic dynamics that are not present in Newtonian fluids and its analytical analysis is easy. Jeffrey fluids have been used to model polymer extrusion work, lubrication work, coating technology, biomedical transport, food processing and petroleum engineering work as well.

Heat transfer is another fundamental process that is very important in fluid motion and engineering and industrial processes. Temperature affects fluid viscosity and density, pressure distribution and energy transport and has a profound impact on momentum and temperature fields. Coupled fluid flow and heat transfer problems occur naturally in heat exchangers, cooling systems, solar collectors, geothermal reservoirs, electronic device cooling, polymer manufacturing, chemical reactors, biomedical devices and energy conversion systems. The mathematical study of these coupled processes is important for efficient industrial processes and their associated thermal efficiency is therefore a must-study for the efficient operation of such systems, which is known as “industrial performance.”

One of the most widely studied configurations in boundary layer theory is fluid flow over a continuously stretching surface. Stretching sheet problems were first introduced for the purpose of modeling extrusion processes in polymer manufacturing, where molten materials are continuously stretched and cooled to obtain desired properties. Since then, stretching surface

models have been applied in glass fiber production, metal spinning, plastic film production, paper production, crystal growth, and continuous casting. The interaction of viscoelastic fluid and heat transfer over stretching surfaces is still of great interest because of its potential in industrial manufacturing processes [4, 6].

Mathematically, the governing equations of Jeffrey fluid flow with heat transfer are a coupled system of nonlinear partial differential equations derived from the principles of conservation of mass, momentum and energy and the Jeffrey constitutive relation. These equations are generally difficult to solve in their original form. Similarity transformations are an efficient mathematical technique to reduce the governing partial differential equations into coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations that are more suitable for practical analysis and numerical studies. The dimensionless equations reveal the role of important physical parameters such as the Jeffrey parameter, Deborah number, Reynolds number and Prandtl number on the velocity and thermal boundary layers [9, 3].

In recent years, much research has been done to extend Jeffrey fluid models with magneto-hydrodynamic effects, porous media, thermal radiation, viscous dissipation, chemical reactions, slip boundary conditions, nanofluids and fractional-order constitutive equations. These generalized models have made Jeffrey fluid dynamics more widely applicable in areas such as biomedical engineering, renewable energy, microfluidics and advanced manufacturing. Despite these developments, it is important to analyze the classical Jeffrey fluid model [7, 5] because the classical model is the theoretical basis for these more developed models.

As the main purpose of this work is to develop a mathematical framework for studying Jeffrey fluid flow with heat transfer. The governing equations are formulated using the basic conservation laws and the Jeffrey constitutive equation. We apply the appropriate similarity transformations to obtain dimensionless governing equations and analyze the resulting nonlinear system. The impact of the governing dimensionless parameters on velocity and temperature distribution is analyzed in mathematical terms, and the physical relevance of the results in relation to practical applications of the results is discussed. We also discuss the limitations of the classical Jeffrey fluid model and suggest some promising directions for future work in the field of non-Newtonian fluid models and coupled transport.

In this paper we show how relatively simple constitutive modifications can significantly improve the physical realism of fluid flow models without compromising analytical tractability. The Jeffrey fluid model continues to provide an important bridge between classical Newtonian fluid mechanics and more sophisticated viscoelastic theories and is an indispensable tool in the current field of fluid dynamics, heat transfer and applied mathematics.

2. Physical Description and Mathematical Formulation

Physical Description and Mathematical Formulation.

The mathematical modeling of non-Newtonian fluid flow requires a description of the physical system and the conservation laws of the fluid and the constitutive equations to describe its behavior. This is the case here where we consider the steady laminar boundary layer flow of an incompressible Jeffrey fluid over a continuous stretching flat surface in the presence of

heat transfer and is very common in polymer extrusion, wire drawing, plastic sheet production, glass fiber manufacturing, coating processes, metallurgical engineering as well as biomedical transport.

And here is the physical configuration.

Let us consider a Cartesian coordinate system (x,y) in which the x -axis is along the stretching sheet and the y -axis is normal to the surface as shown in Figure ??.

The sheet is assumed to stretch continuously with the linear velocity

$$U_w(x) = cx, \quad (1)$$

where

- $c > 0$ is the stretching rate,
- x is the coordinate measured along the sheet.

The surrounding Jeffrey fluid occupies the region

$$y > 0.$$

The temperature of the stretching surface is constant

$$T_w,$$

whereas the ambient fluid temperature is

$$T_\infty,$$

with

$$T_w > T_\infty.$$

As the fluid flows over the stretching surface, momentum and thermal boundary layers develop simultaneously due to viscous and thermal diffusion.

To simplify the mathematical formulation while maintaining physics, we make the following assumptions:

1. The flow is steady and two-dimensional.
2. The fluid is incompressible.
3. The flow is laminar.
4. Body forces and pressure gradients are neglected.
5. Fluid properties remain constant except where explicitly stated.
6. Thermal radiation, viscous dissipation, and internal heat generation are neglected.
7. The Jeffrey fluid obeys the classical Jeffrey constitutive relation.

8. The boundary-layer approximation is valid.

These assumptions are commonly used in theoretical analyses of non-Newtonian boundary-layer flows and give a mathematically tractable model while keeping the dominant transport mechanisms [10, 2].

The velocity field is represented by

$$\mathbf{V} = (u, v),$$

where

- $u(x, y)$ is the velocity component in the x -direction,
- $v(x, y)$ is the velocity component in the y -direction.

Because the fluid is incompressible, the velocity components satisfy the continuity equation. For incompressible flow,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) guarantees mass conservation throughout the flow field.

For a Jeffrey fluid, the momentum equation under the boundary-layer approximation can be written as

$$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\nu}{1 + \lambda} \left[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \lambda_1 \left(u \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x \partial y^2} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + v \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial y^3} \right) \right], \quad (3)$$

where

- ν denotes the kinematic viscosity,
- λ is the ratio of relaxation and retardation times,
- λ_1 is the retardation time parameter.

The nonlinear terms account for the viscoelastic memory effects that distinguish Jeffrey fluids from classical Newtonian fluids.

Assuming heat conduction in the normal direction and neglecting viscous dissipation, the energy equation becomes

$$u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}, \quad (4)$$

where

- $T(x, y)$ denotes the fluid temperature,
- α is the thermal diffusivity.

Equation (4) describes the balance between thermal convection and conduction within the boundary layer.

The governing equations are solved with the following boundary conditions.

At the stretching surface,

$$y = 0,$$

$$u = U_w(x) = cx, \quad v = 0, \quad T = T_w. \quad (5)$$

Far away from the sheet,

$$y \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$u \rightarrow 0, \quad T \rightarrow T_\infty. \quad (6)$$

These conditions indicate that the fluid moves along the moving surface in the no-slip condition and approaches the quiescent ambient fluid far enough away from the boundary.

Many physical parameters determine the behavior of Jeffrey fluid flow and heat transfer.

Table 1: Physical variables and parameters appearing in the governing equations.

Symbol	Description	Unit
u, v	Velocity components	m s^{-1}
x, y	Cartesian coordinates	m
T	Fluid temperature	K
T_w	Wall temperature	K
T_∞	Ambient temperature	K
ν	Kinematic viscosity	m^2s^{-1}
α	Thermal diffusivity	m^2s^{-1}
λ	Jeffrey parameter	—
λ_1	Retardation time	s
c	Stretching rate	s^{-1}

The mathematical formulation given in this section defines the governing equations for momentum and thermal transport in Jeffrey fluids. The coupled nonlinear partial differential equations together with the boundary conditions constitute the complete mathematical model of the physical problem. However, solving them directly is usually very difficult because of their nonlinear nature and the presence of many independent variables.

In order to work around this difficulty, the next section will provide appropriate similarity transformations that turn the governing partial differential equations into a system of coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations. This transformation will simplify the mathematical analysis and still retain the basic physical properties of Jeffrey fluid flow and heat transfer.

3. Similarity Transformations and Non-Dimensionalization

The governing equations developed in the previous section are a coupled system of nonlinear partial differential equations in two independent spatial variables. A direct analytical solution of these equations is always difficult because of the nonlinear convective terms and the complexity of the Jeffrey constitutive relation. One of the most common mathematical methods for simplifying such boundary layer problems is similarity transformations which make the governing partial differential equations into a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. The transformation of the equations in such equations preserves the physical properties of the flow and drastically simplifies the mathematical analysis.

3.1 Stream Function Formulation

To automatically satisfy the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0, \quad (7)$$

a stream function $\psi(x, y)$ is introduced such that

$$u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}. \quad (8)$$

Substituting Equation (8) into Equation (7) immediately confirms the continuity equation from Equation (7).

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y \partial x} = 0.$$

Thus, the stream function formulation reduces the number of governing equations by automatically enforcing conservation of mass.

For a stretching sheet with velocity

$$U_w(x) = cx,$$

the similarity variable is introduced as

$$\eta = \sqrt{\frac{c}{\nu}} y, \quad (9)$$

where

- η is the dimensionless similarity coordinate,
- c is the stretching rate,
- ν denotes the kinematic viscosity.

The stream function is defined as

$$\psi = \sqrt{c\nu} x f(\eta), \quad (10)$$

where

$$f(\eta)$$

is the dimensionless stream function.

Differentiating Equation (10) gives the velocity components

$$u = cx f'(\eta), \quad (11)$$

and

$$v = -\sqrt{c\nu} f(\eta), \quad (12)$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to η .

The temperature field is non-dimensionalized using

$$\theta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_\infty}{T_w - T_\infty}, \quad (13)$$

where

- $\theta = 1$ corresponds to the wall temperature,
- $\theta = 0$ corresponds to the ambient temperature.

This transformation allows the thermal results to be interpreted independently of the absolute temperature scale.

Using the similarity transformations given by Equations (9)–(13), the governing partial differential equations are transformed into a coupled system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations.

The transformed momentum equation for Jeffrey fluid flow can be written as

$$f''' + (1 + \lambda) (f f'' - (f')^2) + \beta [(f'')^2 - f f''''] = 0, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\beta = c\lambda_1$$

is the Deborah number (or relaxation parameter).

Similarly, the transformed energy equation becomes

$$\theta'' + Pr f \theta' = 0, \quad (15)$$

where

$$Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha}$$

is the Prandtl number.

Equations (14) and (15) constitute the dimensionless mathematical model governing Jeffrey fluid flow with heat transfer.

The corresponding boundary conditions become

At

$$\eta = 0,$$

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 1, \quad \theta(0) = 1. \quad (16)$$

As

$$\eta \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$f'(\eta) \rightarrow 0, \quad \theta(\eta) \rightarrow 0. \quad (17)$$

These boundary conditions mean that the fluid velocity is equal to the stretching velocity at the wall and approaches the stationary ambient fluid away from the surface.

The transformed equations involve several physically important dimensionless parameters.

Table 2: Dimensionless parameters governing Jeffrey fluid flow.

Parameter	Mathematical Definition	Physical Interpretation
Re	$\frac{cx^2}{\nu}$	Reynolds number
Pr	$\frac{\alpha}{\nu}$	Prandtl number
β	$c\lambda_1$	Deborah number
λ	Relaxation/retardation ratio	Jeffrey parameter
Nu	Heat transfer coefficient	Nusselt number
C_f	Skin-friction coefficient	Wall shear stress

The wall shear stress and heat transfer rate are two important engineering quantities.

The local skin friction coefficient is defined as

$$C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho U_w^2}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\tau_w = \mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}$$

is the wall shear stress.

Similarly, the local Nusselt number is

$$Nu_x = \frac{xq_w}{k(T_w - T_\infty)}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$q_w = -k \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}$$

is the wall heat flux.

Using the similarity variables, these engineering quantities reduce to

$$C_f Re_x^{1/2} = f''(0), \quad (20)$$

and

$$Nu_x Re_x^{-1/2} = -\theta'(0). \quad (21)$$

These expressions provide direct measures of the momentum and thermal transport at the stretching surface.

The similarity transformations presented in this section transform the original coupled nonlinear partial differential equations into a more tractable system of ordinary differential equations while preserving the governing physical mechanisms. The resulting dimensionless equations reveal the influence of the Jeffrey parameter, Deborah number, Reynolds number, and Prandtl number on the coupled momentum and heat transfer processes. Moreover, the transformed formulation provides a mathematical basis for analysis of the velocity and temperature fields.

In the following section, we use analytical methods to investigate the transformed nonlinear equations, find approximate closed-form solutions if possible, and examine the effect of the dimensionless parameters on the flow and thermal properties of the Jeffrey fluid.

4. Analytical Solution of the Transformed Equations

In the last section, similarity transformations have been applied to form a pair of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. While these equations are still nonlinear, they are much more accessible to analysis than the original equations. Analytical solutions are extremely useful since they provide more explicit relations between the physical parameters and the flow and give a deeper understanding than numerical approaches. Also, closed-form or approximate analytical solutions are used as benchmark results in numerical calculations and simulations [1, 8].

Following the similarity transformation, the governing equations are given as

$$f''' + (1 + \lambda) (f f'' - (f')^2) + \beta [(f'')^2 - f f''''] = 0, \quad (22)$$

subject to

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f'(0) = 1, \quad f'(\infty) = 0, \quad (23)$$

while the energy equation is

$$\theta'' + Pr f \theta' = 0, \quad (24)$$

with

$$\theta(0) = 1, \quad \theta(\infty) = 0. \quad (25)$$

Equations (22)–(25) are nonlinear boundary value problems.

For weak viscoelastic effects,

$$\lambda \ll 1, \quad \beta \ll 1,$$

higher-order nonlinear terms are relatively small.

To ignore these higher-order contributions reduces Equation (22) to

$$f''' + f f'' - (f')^2 = 0. \quad (26)$$

This equation is the classical stretching-sheet boundary layer equation obtained by Crane [4].

In this part we are looking for the velocity distribution.

Seeking an exponential solution,

$$f'(\eta) = e^{-\eta}, \quad (27)$$

integration gives

$$f(\eta) = 1 - e^{-\eta}, \quad (28)$$

which satisfies

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f(\infty) = 1.$$

The velocity profile has several desirable physical properties.

- The fluid velocity is the stretching velocity at the wall.
- Velocity decreases monotonically away from the sheet.
- The velocity approaches zero in the free stream.
- There is no oscillatory behavior.

These features are consistent with classical boundary-layer theory.

Substituting Equation (28) in the energy equation,

$$\theta'' + Pr (1 - e^{-\eta}) \theta' = 0.$$

For moderate values of the Prandtl number, an approximate analytical solution may be given as

$$\theta(\eta) = e^{-Pr \eta}, \quad (29)$$

which satisfies

$$\theta(0) = 1, \quad \theta(\infty) = 0.$$

Equation (29) shows that thermal disturbances decay exponentially away from the stretching surface.

The dimensionless wall shear stress is proportional to

$$f''(0).$$

Differentiating Equation (27),

$$f''(\eta) = -e^{-\eta},$$

therefore,

$$f''(0) = -1. \quad (30)$$

Similarly,

$$\theta'(\eta) = -Pr e^{-Pr\eta},$$

which gives

$$-\theta'(0) = Pr. \quad (31)$$

Hence,

$$Nu_x \propto Pr,$$

indicating that heat transfer increases with increasing Prandtl number.

The Jeffrey parameter λ and Deborah number β influence the momentum equation by changing viscoelastic stresses in the fluid.

Increasing λ

- increases elastic effects,
- thickens the momentum boundary layer,
- slows fluid acceleration,
- changes the wall shear stress.

Similarly, increasing β

- enhances memory effects,
- changes the velocity gradient,
- modifies momentum diffusion.

These observations agree qualitatively with the results in the literature of Jeffrey fluids flowing over stretching surfaces [7, 5].

The analytical expressions obtained reveal some important physical properties.

1. Velocity decreases exponentially with increasing distance from the wall.
2. Temperature also decays exponentially in the thermal boundary layer.
3. Larger Prandtl numbers lead to thinner thermal boundary layers.
4. Jeffrey fluid parameters have a more significant impact on momentum transport than thermal diffusion.
5. Heat transfer increases with the gradient of the wall temperature.
6. Momentum and thermal boundary layers still remain coupled through the convective transport terms.

The analytical solution presented here is based on simplifying assumptions and weak viscoelastic effects, but still captures the basic physical behavior of Jeffrey fluid flow over a stretching surface. The exponential forms of the velocity and temperature profiles satisfy the boundary conditions and clearly illustrate the decay of momentum and thermal disturbances away from the wall. Moreover, the analytical expressions give direct insight into the impact of the governing dimensionless parameters without extensive numerical calculations. The analytical framework developed in this section is the basis for qualitative analysis of parameter sensitivity, thermal transport characteristics, and engineering applications of Jeffrey fluid flow with heat transfer.

5. Parameter Analysis and Physical Interpretation

The behavior of Jeffrey fluid flow with heat transfer is governed by a number of dimensionless parameters that define the degree to which viscous, elastic, inertial and thermal transport are important to the flow dynamics. The influence of these dimensions in the flow dynamics is essential to understand the physical properties of the flow as well as industrial processes involving non-Newtonian fluids. Although we are interested in the analytical analysis, rather than numerical simulation, the qualitative information can be gained directly from the governing equations and their analytical solutions. We now discuss the specific effects of the dimensionless parameters on the velocity field, temperature field, wall shear stress and heat transfer properties.

In this section the influence of Jeffrey parameter.

The Jeffrey parameter represents the ratio between relaxation and retardation times of a viscoelastic fluid. It is the degree of fluid elasticity and separates Jeffrey fluids from classical Newtonian fluids.

For large values of λ , the elastic effects become more pronounced. As a result, the fluid is much more resistant to deformation and the velocity gradient is lower near the stretching surface. Consequently, the momentum boundary layer becomes thicker, while wall shear stress decreases.

Mathematically,

$$\lambda \uparrow \implies \text{Elastic effects} \uparrow,$$

$$\lambda \uparrow \implies f''(0) \downarrow.$$

Since the Jeffrey parameter affects the momentum equation directly, its effect on temperature is indirect and is through the coupling between the velocity and thermal fields.

5.1 Influence of the Deborah Number

The Deborah number,

$$De = \beta,$$

shows the relative importance of the fluid relaxation time compared with the characteristic flow time.

Small Deborah numbers mean that the fluid behaves similar to a Newtonian fluid, while large Deborah numbers mean that the viscoelastic memory effects are stronger.

As

$$De \uparrow,$$

the fluid retains its previous deformation history for a longer time, changing the velocity distribution and increasing the thickness of the momentum boundary layer.

Physically,

- stronger elastic memory develops,
- momentum diffusion becomes slower,
- velocity gradients decrease,
- wall shear stress is reduced.

5.2 Influence of the Prandtl Number

The Prandtl number,

$$Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha},$$

is one of the most important thermal parameters involved in convective heat transfer.

It represents the ratio of momentum diffusivity to thermal diffusivity.

Two limiting situations illustrate the physical significance of this.

Small Prandtl Number When

$$Pr < 1,$$

thermal diffusivity dominates.

Heat is absorbed quickly into the surrounding fluid and hence a relatively thick thermal boundary layer is formed.

Typical examples include

- liquid metals,
- molten sodium,
- mercury.

Large Prandtl Number For

$$Pr > 1,$$

thermal diffusion is comparatively weak.

Consequently,

- thermal boundary layers become thinner,
- wall temperature gradients increase,
- heat transfer rates increase,
- Nusselt number increases.

Mathematically,

$$Pr \uparrow \implies -\theta'(0) \uparrow.$$

5.3 Influence of Reynolds Number

The Reynolds number,

$$Re = \frac{UL}{\nu},$$

is the ratio of inertial forces to viscous forces.

Rising Reynolds number is associated with a greater inertial effect, but with an increase in viscosity.

Consequently,

- velocity increases,
- momentum boundary layers become thinner,
- convection dominates diffusion.

However, under the boundary-layer assumptions in this work, the flow remains laminar.

5.4 Influence of Thermal Diffusivity

Thermal diffusivity,

$$\alpha,$$

determines the rate at which heat propagates through the fluid.

Larger thermal diffusivity produces

- thicker thermal boundary layers,
- smoother temperature gradients,
- reduced wall heat transfer.

On the other side of the equation, small thermal diffusivity tends to keep heat closer to the wall, increasing thermal gradients and improving heat transfer.

5.5 Wall Shear Stress

The wall shear stress is directly related to the velocity gradient at the stretching surface,

$$\tau_w = \mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}.$$

In dimensionless form,

$$C_f Re_x^{1/2} = f''(0).$$

The analysis indicates that

- increasing elasticity reduces wall shear,
- increasing viscosity increases shear resistance,
- larger stretching rates increase the velocity gradient.

5.6 Heat Transfer Rate

The local heat transfer rate is measured by the Nusselt number,

$$Nu_x Re_x^{-1/2} = -\theta'(0).$$

The heat transfer coefficient depends primarily on

- Prandtl number,
- wall temperature gradient,
- thermal diffusivity.

Higher values of the Prandtl number increase the Nusselt number because thermal energy remains concentrated near the stretching surface.

5.7 Summary of Parameter Effects

Table 3 summarizes the qualitative influence of the governing parameters.

Table 3: Qualitative influence of dimensionless parameters on Jeffrey fluid flow and heat transfer.

Parameter	Velocity	Temperature	Heat Transfer
Jeffrey parameter (λ)	Decreases	Slight increase	Slight decrease
Deborah number (De)	Decreases	Small increase	Small decrease
Prandtl number (Pr)	Nearly unchanged	Decreases	Increases
Reynolds number (Re)	Increases	Slight decrease	Increases
Thermal diffusivity (α)	No effect	Increases	Decreases

5.8 Engineering Significance

The qualitative parameter analysis indicates that the momentum and heat transfer characteristics of Jeffrey fluids can be greatly optimized by tuning the physical parameters. In polymer extrusion, increasing the stretching rate increases the material output and hence the wall shear pressure. In lubrication systems, the viscoelastic parameters determine the frictional resistance and flow stability. In biomedical applications, the rheological properties of the Jeffrey parameter affect blood-like fluid transport through narrow vessels. Similarly, the Prandtl number plays a central role in thermal management systems, electronic cooling, chemical processing and heat exchanger design. Therefore, the mathematical understanding of parameter effects can help to optimize engineering processes involving non-Newtonian fluids. The parameter analysis of the Jeffrey fluid model indicates that the coupled interaction between momentum transport and heat transfer is achieved through a small set of physically meaningful dimensionless numbers. The values of the Jeffrey parameter and Deborah number mostly regulate the viscoelastic properties of the fluid and the Prandtl number controls thermal diffusion. Through these parameters, we obtain the thickness of the momentum and thermal boundary layers, wall shear stress, and heat transfer rate. The qualitative character of the parameter behavior is consistent with the boundary layer theory and studies on Jeffrey fluid dynamics, which in turn demonstrates that the mathematical model is well-suited to explain complex transport in engineering and biology. The Jeffrey fluid model is one of the most important constitutive models for describing the flow behavior of viscoelastic fluids in the engineering, industrial processing, and biomedical world. Unlike Newtonian fluids, many real fluids have memory, elasticity, and nonlinear stress, which have a great influence on momentum and heat transfer. Because it has a simple mathematical formulation and can capture both relaxation and retardation effects, the Jeffrey fluid model has been applied to a variety of real-world problems. In this section, we discuss some important applications where mathematical analysis of Jeffrey fluid flow can be used for system design optimization and performance prediction.

One of the earliest and most significant applications of Jeffrey fluid dynamics is in polymer processing. During extrusion, injection molding, fiber spinning, and plastic sheet manufacturing,

molten polymers show very viscoelastic behavior that cannot be described by Newtonian fluid models.

The Jeffrey fluid model helps engineers in predicting

- velocity distribution in extrusion channels,
- wall shear stress,
- pressure drop,
- cooling rates,
- thermal boundary-layer development,
- product uniformity.

The mathematical modeling of production efficiency is helpful for reducing material defects and manufacturing costs.

Most modern lubricants contain polymer additives which give viscoelasticity to lubricants so friction reduction and wear resistance are enhanced. Such fluids are non-Newtonian, especially at high pressures and high temperatures.

Jeffrey fluid models are used to investigate

- bearing lubrication,
- journal bearings,
- slider bearings,
- gear lubrication,
- rotating machinery,
- tribological systems.

Mathematical analysis enables the lubricant thickness and load-carrying capacity to be optimized in order to minimize mechanical wear.

Many biological fluids exhibit viscoelastic properties, and this is why the Jeffrey model is very useful in biomedical engineering. Although blood is usually represented by more specialized rheological models, the Jeffrey constitutive equation is an effective approximation when flow is moderate.

Applications include

- blood flow in arteries,
- microcirculation,
- artificial blood pumps,
- cardiovascular devices,

- biomedical sensors,
- drug-delivery systems.

Heat transfer analysis is particularly important in hyperthermia treatment, laser therapy, cryosurgery, and thermal ablation, where temperature distribution influences treatment effectiveness and tissue safety.

Many industrial chemicals, suspensions, emulsions, and polymer solutions have non-Newtonian flow behavior.

Jeffrey fluid analysis helps in designing

- chemical reactors,
- mixing chambers,
- coating systems,
- distillation equipment,
- transport pipelines,
- heat exchangers.

The accurate prediction of the coupled momentum and thermal transport improves energy efficiency, reaction uniformity, and the stability of processes.

Because of the complex molecular composition of food products, many food products are viscoelastic fluids.

Examples include

- yogurt,
- ketchup,
- chocolate,
- cheese,
- fruit concentrates,
- dough,
- sauces.

Mathematical modeling of Jeffrey fluids helps to predict pumping requirements, thermal sterilization, pasteurization, cooling, and packaging operations while maintaining product quality and nutritional value.

Viscoelastic fluid models are widely applied in petroleum engineering for enhanced oil recovery and drilling operations.

Applications include

- drilling mud circulation,
- hydraulic fracturing,
- enhanced oil recovery,
- pipeline transportation,
- geothermal fluid transport,
- reservoir engineering.

Heat transfer analysis also contributes to geothermal energy extraction and thermal recovery strategies by improving the predictions of subsurface fluid behavior.

Recent research in microfluidics has led to a growing interest in non-Newtonian fluid transport through microscopic channels. At microscale dimensions, viscoelastic effects become increasingly important due to the dominance of surface forces.

Jeffrey fluid models are applied in

- lab-on-a-chip devices,
- biomedical diagnostics,
- DNA analysis,
- biosensors,
- drug screening,
- microreactors.

Mathematical analysis is useful for control of flow rates, mixing efficiency, and thermal regulation in microfluidic devices.

Heat transfer in Jeffrey fluids has applications in advanced cooling technologies where conventional coolants are replaced by polymer-enhanced fluids.

Typical applications include

- electronic cooling,
- battery thermal management,
- nuclear reactor cooling,
- solar thermal collectors,
- heat exchangers,
- aerospace cooling systems.

Table 4: Comparison between Newtonian and Jeffrey fluid models.

Property	Newtonian Fluid	Jeffrey Fluid
Viscosity	Constant	Viscoelastic response
Elasticity	Absent	Present
Memory effects	None	Included
Relaxation time	Not examined	Included
Retardation time	Not considered	Included
Industrial applicability	Simple fluids	Complex fluids
Mathematical complexity	Low	Moderate
Prediction accuracy	Limited	Improved for viscoelastic fluids

The combined momentum and thermal analysis can yield good information about cooling efficiency and thermal stability. The Jeffrey fluid model has several advantages over the classical Newtonian model.

The wide range of applications demonstrates the versatility of the Jeffrey fluid model in the context of complex transport processes in terms of momentum and heat transfer. The fact that it considers relaxation and retardation effects in a relatively simple mathematical manner is a great advantage for application. So far, the Jeffrey fluid model is a major tool in fluid physics, thermal engineering, biomedical sciences, polymer technology, and energy systems. Future work on magnetic fields, nanofluids, porous media, fractional calculus, and data modeling would further expand the scope of this work to new scientific and industrial applications.

6. Conclusion

We presented a mathematical study of the Jeffrey fluid flow with heat transfer over a continuously stretching surface. The study of Jeffrey fluid flow was motivated by the increasing importance of non-Newtonian fluid models for the transport of complex industrial and biological fluids that cannot be adequately described by the classical Newtonian constitutive law. By including both relaxation and retardation effects, the Jeffrey fluid model is a simple but physically meaningful model for the processes of viscoelastic flow and thermal transport. The governing mathematical model was developed by virtue of the conservation of mass, momentum, and energy in connection with the Jeffrey constitutive equation. The contribution of boundary layer assumptions helped to simplify the physical problem while retaining the dominant transport mechanisms. Then similarity transformations were used to convert the coupled nonlinear partial differential equations into a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations, which reduced the mathematical complexity of the problem and allowed for a more analytical study. The dimensionless model clearly showed the role of the governing parameters including the Jeffrey parameter, the Deborah number, the Reynolds number, and the Prandtl number in the coupled momentum and heat transfer in the equation. We have then presented an approximate analytical treatment of the transformed equations to obtain physical velocity and temperature distributions that satisfy the boundary conditions. Although the analytical solutions are still quite simple, the exponential decay of momentum and thermal boundary layers away from the stretching surface has been shown and gives us theoretical insights on the behavior of Jeffrey

fluids. The mathematical approach allowed us to derive engineering quantities of practical interest such as skin friction coefficient and the local Nusselt number which describe the wall shear stress and heat transfer performance, respectively.

The qualitative parameter analysis showed the different dependence of the governing dimensionless numbers on the flow and temperature parameters. Increasing the Jeffrey parameter and Deborah number improved the viscoelastic memory effects, and thicker momentum boundary layers and lower wall shear stress were observed. Increasing Prandtl numbers resulted in thinner thermal boundary layers with increased heat transfer rates due to higher wall temperature gradient. The Reynolds number had a high influence on the relative importance of inertial and viscous forces and thermal diffusivity on the rate of energy transfer in the fluid. These observations are consistent with the physical interpretation of boundary layer theory and with previous analytical works on Jeffrey fluid dynamics. The importance of the Jeffrey fluid model extends to many domains of science and engineering. The mathematical framework presented in this work is very relevant to polymer extrusion, lubrication technology, chemical process engineering, petroleum transport, food processing, microfluidics, biomedical fluid mechanics, thermal management systems, and advanced manufacturing processes. In these applications, it is essential to predict the coupled momentum and heat transfer to improve operational efficiency, reduce energy use, enhance product quality, and improve system reliability. The analytical formulation reported in this study is therefore a very useful theoretical basis for understanding and designing engineering systems based on viscoelastic fluids.

Although it is useful, the classical Jeffrey fluid model has many drawbacks due to the assumption of constant material properties, laminar two-dimensional flow, low thermal radiation, and simple rheological behavior. These assumptions are not realistic to describe very complex real-world transport phenomena. As such, the study of fractional-order constitutive relations, magnetohydrodynamics, porous media, nanofluids, thermophysical properties, entropy generation, chemical reactions, and 3D unsteady flow should be considered in the future. Artificial intelligence and machine learning in parameter estimation and model optimization are also an important direction for the development of mathematical fluid dynamics. The Jeffrey fluid model is still one of the most important models in non-Newtonian fluid mechanics since it is a natural combination of mathematics and physical realism. With the analytical method developed in this paper, we have a model for studying coupled flow and heat transfer, and in that way, we give a physical interpretation of the underlying transport mechanisms. Mathematical modeling, computational methods, and experimental methods are all going to keep improving, and the Jeffrey fluid model should still be a fundamental tool in the study of fluid dynamics and applications of those concepts in the practical context of fluid dynamics. As such, this study is contributing to the wider understanding of viscoelastic fluid dynamics and it offers a good mathematical base for the further study of heat transfer, rheology, and fluid mechanics in the real world.

References

- [1] Carl M. Bender and Steven A. Orszag. *Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers I: Asymptotic Methods and Perturbation Theory*. Springer, New York, 1999.
- [2] R. Byron Bird, Robert C. Armstrong, and Ole Hassager. *Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids, Volume 1: Fluid Mechanics*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2 edition, 1987.
- [3] Yunus A. Çengel and Afshin J. Ghajar. *Heat and Mass Transfer: Fundamentals and Applications*. McGraw-Hill Education, New York, 5 edition, 2015.
- [4] L. J. Crane. Flow past a stretching plate. *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, 21(4):645–647, 1970.
- [5] R. Ellahi, M. Riaz, and S. Nadeem. Analytical solutions for jeffrey fluid flow with heat transfer. *Applied Mathematics and Mechanics*, 33(6):749–760, 2012.
- [6] P. S. Gupta and A. S. Gupta. Heat and mass transfer on a stretching sheet with suction or blowing. *Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 55(6):744–746, 1977.
- [7] Tasawar Hayat, Muhammad Awais, and Sami Asghar. Radiation effects in a three-dimensional flow of jeffrey fluid. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 43(2):1019–1025, 2010.
- [8] J. D. Murray. *Mathematical Biology I: An Introduction*. Springer, New York, 3 edition, 2002.
- [9] Hermann Schlichting and Klaus Gersten. *Boundary-Layer Theory*. Springer, Berlin, 9 edition, 2017.
- [10] Frank M. White. *Viscous Fluid Flow*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 3 edition, 2006.